

Research Article

Systematically Challenging Three Prevailing Notions About Entropy and Life

Jiming Chen¹, Ji-Wang Chen², Roberto Zivieri^{3,2}

1. School of Life Sciences and Engineering, Foshan University, China; 2. Department of Medicine, University of Illinois at Chicago, United States; 3. University of Ferrara, Italy

This article systematically challenges three notions prevailing in diverse disciplines: 1) Entropy is a measure of disorder; 2) life relies on negative entropy; 3) and many systems tend to become increasingly disordered due to the second law of thermodynamics, using the original, fundamental, and uncontroversial (OFU) nature of entropy: entropy, whose unit is joule/kelvin, is a physical concept analogous to energy and is heat energy mathematically divided by the relevant thermodynamic temperature. The challenge is supported by numerous compelling facts in physics, chemistry, and biology. The challenge, if widely accepted, could facilitate the eradication of the entrenched misleading effects of these misconceptions in diverse disciplines and facilitate relevant research and education on complexity, entropy, disorder, order, evolution, life, and thermodynamics.

Ji-Ming Chen, Ji-Wang Chen, and Roberto Zivieri contributed equally to this work.

Corresponding authors: Jiming Chen, jmchen@fosu.edu.cn; Roberto Zivieri, roberto.zivieri@unife.it

1. Introduction

Multiple notions associated with entropy, such as the idea of animals struggling for plants' entropy proposed by Ludwig Boltzmann in the 1880s^[1], the concept of animals relying on negative entropy proposed by Erwin Schrödinger in the 1940s^[2], the maximum entropy production hypothesis^[3], and minimum energy dissipation principle^[4], have been frequently applied to interpret complexity, disorder, and order in life sciences and other disciplines^{[4][5][6][7][8][9][10][11][12][13][14][15][16]}. It is desirable to investigate whether these notions are correct to ensure the validity of their applications.

2. Methods

The original, fundamental, and uncontroversial (OFU) nature of entropy is clarified and employed below as the criterion to judge whether an entropy-associated notion is correct, according to the basic logic in science: any notions derived from a valid concept (e.g., entropy) should not contradict the OFU nature of the concept.

If not specified, entropy in this article represents the entropy of a system, which can be an isolated or open system.

3. Results

3.1. *The OFU nature and features of entropy*

In 1854, Rudolf Clausius created the concept of entropy (S) and the Clausius formula of entropy,

$$\Delta S = \Delta Q/T \quad (1)$$

to mathematically describe the second law of thermodynamics and explain the efficiency of heat-to-work conversion in Carnot cycles^[17]. The law states that heat can spontaneously flow from a hot object to a cold object and cannot spontaneously flow reversely. The law involves heat energy (Q), which is the kinetic energy of vibrating and colliding atoms in a substance^{[18][19][20]}, and thermodynamic temperature (T). T is higher than zero for all objects worldwide, according to the third law of thermodynamics^{[18][19][20][21][22]}. The Clausius formula denotes that, at a time point, the increase in the entropy of an object (S) is equal to the heat absorbed by the object (Q) divided by the thermodynamic temperature of the object (T)^{[18][19][20][21][22]}, and the entropy of an object increases when it absorbs heat from its surroundings (Q>0) and declines when it dissipates heat into its surroundings (Q<0). This formula suggests that the entropy of an isolated system always increases until its components reach the same temperature, which accurately describes in mathematics the second law of thermodynamics (Box 1).

In an isolated system containing only hot A and cold B, heat spontaneously flows from A to B, and for any time point before A and B reach the same temperature, A is hotter than B ($T_A > T_B > 0$), the heat released by A is equal to the heat absorbed by B ($Q_B = -Q_A > 0$).

The change of entropy ($\Delta S = \Delta Q/T$) of B, A, and the isolated system (i-system) are:

$$\Delta S_B = \Delta Q_B/T_B > 0 \text{ because B absorbs heat } (\Delta Q_B > 0) \text{ and } T_B > 0$$

$$\Delta S_A = \Delta Q_A/T_A < 0 \text{ because A dissipates heat } (Q_A = -Q_B < 0) \text{ and } T_A > 0$$

$$\Delta S_{i\text{-system}} > 0 \text{ because } \Delta S_{i\text{-system}} = \Delta S_A + \Delta S_B = \Delta Q_A/T_A + \Delta Q_B/T_B = -\Delta Q_B/T_A + \Delta Q_B/T_B = \Delta Q_B (1/T_B - 1/T_A), \Delta Q_B > 0, \text{ and } T_A > T_B.$$

The above inequality $\Delta S_{i\text{-system}} > 0$ accurately describes in mathematics the second law of thermodynamics^[17]
^{[18][21]}.

Box 1. Changes of the entropy of an isolated system

The Clausius formula defines the OFU nature of entropy: entropy is a physical concept analogous to energy and is heat energy mathematically divided by the relevant thermodynamic temperature. This OFU nature of entropy is widely applied in current thermodynamics^{[18][19][20][21][22][23]}, and aligns with Clausius' statement: "I have designedly coined the word 'entropy' to be similar to 'energy', for these two quantities are so analogous in their physical significance"^[22].

Entropy has the following two features.

1. Entropy is a derived quantity with the unit joule/kelvin (J/K)^{[18][19][20]}, where "kelvin" is the unit of thermodynamic temperature and "joule" is the unit of energy. As $1 \text{ joule} = 1 \text{ kg} \times \text{m}/(\text{s}^2)$, entropy can be considered a quantity derived from four basic quantities in physics: mass, length, time, and thermodynamic temperature.
2. Entropy is an additive quantity in physics^{[18][19][20]}. The entropy of the isolated system composed of objects A and B is equal to the entropy of object A plus the entropy of object B.

About in 1900, Max Planck created another formula for entropy:

$$S = k \ln W \quad (2)$$

Max Planck termed this formula the Boltzmann formula because it originated from Boltzmann's view that the second law of thermodynamics can be explained with statistical probability^{[1][24]}. In this formula, \ln represents the natural logarithm, k denotes the Boltzmann constant (1.38065×10^{-23} J/K), and W is from the German "Wahrscheinlichkeit", which means probability, and represents a probability associated with heat transfer^{[24][25][26]}.

To combine the above two formulas, it can be deduced that, at a time point:

$$\Delta S = \Delta Q/T = S_2 - S_1 = k \ln W_2 - k \ln W_1 = k(\ln W_2 - \ln W_1) = k(\Delta \ln W) \quad (3)$$

$$\Delta \ln W = \Delta Q/(kT) \quad (4)$$

Equation (4), which is consistent with a recent publication^[27], shows that W , like S , is also a quantity associated with heat energy (Q) and thermodynamic temperature (T).

3.2. Five types of changes caused by entropy increases

Entropy increases due to heat absorption or heat transfer can lead to at least five types of changes, as exemplified below.

1. A stone, metal, or ideal gas becomes hotter.
2. Ice melts into water.
3. The energy in an isolated system is distributed more evenly, as shown in Box 1, where no chemical reactions occur.
4. A solar battery gains electrical energy.
5. Carbon dioxide, along with water, forms glucose through heat-absorbing organic synthesis (photosynthesis) in plant leaves^{[28][29]}.

In the first two types of changes, the increased heat or entropy of the objects remains as heat energy, which can be dissipated if the surroundings become colder. In contrast, some of the increased heat or entropy of the objects in the last two types of changes is stored as electrical energy or chemical energy, which can be stored in the objects even if the surroundings become colder^[28]. The last two types of changes also demonstrate that energy can be more unevenly distributed in a system due to an increase in its entropy, which contradicts the tendency shown by the third type of change (the energy of a system distributes more evenly due to an increase in its entropy), where no chemical reactions occur.

3.3. *Animals struggle for plants' entropy*

Ludwig Boltzmann stated in 1886 that animals struggle for plants' entropy that is transformed from solar energy^[1] [Box 1]. This notion is correct according to the OFU nature of entropy. When plants absorb heat from sunlight, their entropy increases, and the increased entropy, or the absorbed energy, is stored as chemical energy in carbohydrate molecules through photosynthesis (see Section 3.2)^{[28][29]}. Animals can utilize the entropy or energy stored in the carbohydrate molecules in plants (plants serve as animals' food) and released through the metabolic degradation of animals' food, for movement, maintaining body temperatures, and other purposes. Animals do not struggle for the heat energy abundant on Earth that they cannot directly utilize.

... The general struggle for existence of animate beings is therefore not a struggle for raw materials—these, for organisms, are air, water and soil, all abundantly available—nor for energy, which exists in plenty in any body in the form of heat (albeit unfortunately not transformable), but a struggle for entropy, which becomes available through the transition of energy from the hot sun to the cold earth. In order to exploit this transition as much as possible, plants spread their immense surface of leaves and force the sun's energy, before it falls to the earth's temperature, to perform in ways yet unexplored certain chemical syntheses of which no one in our laboratories has so far the least idea. The products of this chemical kitchen constitute the object of struggle of the animal world...

Box 2. A part of the lecture of Boltzmann on entropy and life^[1]

3.4. *Can entropy be a measure of disorder*

The notion that entropy is a measure of disorder (higher entropy means greater disorder) has been widely stated in numerous dictionaries^[30], encyclopedias^{[21][22][31][32]}, textbooks^{[18][20]}, and research articles^{[4][5][33][34][35][36][37][38]}, although this notion has faced a few challenges^{[2][6][7][19][39][40][41]}. This notion has been applied frequently to research on disorder or order in the biomedical and social sciences^{[13][14][15][16][17][18][19][20]}.

Here we challenge the notion that entropy is a measure of disorder because entropy and disorder are concepts with distinct meanings. Entropy, in its OFU nature, is an additive quality analogous to energy with the unit of J/K^{[18][19][20]}. In contrast, disorder is neither additive nor analogous to energy, and its unit

is not J/K . Entropy has a single clear meaning and can be expressed using a single equation (the Clausius formula or the Boltzmann formula), while order or disorder has distinct meanings in physics and other disciplines under different contexts. According to various Oxford dictionaries^[42], the Collins English Dictionary^[43], and entropy-associated research articles^{[4][32][33][34][35]}, disorder refers to chaos, untidiness, or abnormalities, and typically arises from a decline in a system's order. For example, Consequently, order can be categorized into at least the following three types.

1. Structural regularity, which, for example, is embodied in crystals. Although occasionally entropy decline can lead to structural regularity, as seen in the formation of snowflakes, entropy decline usually cannot lead to structural regularity. According to the OFU nature of entropy, when a stone or ideal gas dissipates heat into its surroundings, it becomes colder, its entropy declines, and the velocity of its unordered molecular vibration and collision declines. However, its molecular vibration and collision remain fully unordered without an increase in its structural regularity.
2. Temporal regularity, which, for example, is embodied in mechanic clocks, biological clocks, and Belousov-Zhabotinsky reaction (a chemical reaction in which a mixture of an acidic solution containing sodium bromate, malonic acid, and a reducing agent leads to a series of complex chemical reactions that exhibit periodic color changes^[44]). Usually, this type of order cannot result from entropy decline but from some mechanisms more complicated than entropy decline^[45].
3. Internal cooperation, which, for example, is embodied in living organisms and important for the health of organisms. Accordingly, disorder usually refers to diseases of organisms^{[2][42][43]}. Usually, this type of order cannot result from entropy decline, but from some mechanisms, such as, gene regulation and natural selection, which are more complicated than entropy decline^[46]. Heat absorption by plants from warm sunlight, which increases plants' entropy, usually does not reduce the order (i.e., internal cooperation) of plants. Humans who die acutely due to myocardial infarction dissipate heat into their surroundings, and their body temperatures decrease during the process of death, resulting in a decline in their entropy, which does not lead to an increase in the order (i.e., internal cooperation) of their bodies, so entropy cannot represent disorder in humans. Drinking water can restore order (i.e., internal cooperation) in a highly thirsty man, which simultaneously increases the man's entropy because entropy is an additive quantity^{[18][19][20]}. This fact also suggests that entropy cannot represent disorder in humans. Notably, here "entropy" represents the entropy of the system of the man's body, and the water drunk by the highly thirsty man becomes a normal part of the man's body.

The above three types of order correspond to three types of disorder (destroy of structural regularity, temporal regularity, and internal cooperation). As elucidated above, none of these types of order or disorder change consistently with entropy, which clearly demonstrates that entropy is distinct from disorder and cannot quantify disorder.

The above facts suggest that the notion that entropy is a measure of disorder could arise from the ignorance of the OFU nature of entropy and multiple reasoning confusions: the confusion of the velocity of fully unordered molecular vibration and collision with the extent of disorder of the vibration and collision; the confusion of unordered status (which is prone to normal) with disorder (which is prone to be abnormal); the confusion of static, cold, and orderly structure (e.g., crystals at low temperatures) with moving, warm, and orderly motion (e.g., the movement of many molecules in mammals); and the confusion of those systems with physical changes with those systems with chemical changes caused by entropy increases (see Section 3.2).

The misconception that entropy is a measure of disorder could originate in the 1880s, when Hermann von Helmholtz used the word “disorder” to describe entropy^[24]. It also could result from confusion of the concept of W in the Boltzmann formula and disorder, as exemplified below in Section 3.5. Because equation (4) shows that W is a quantity associated with heat energy and thermodynamic temperature, W does not directly correlate with disorder, which refers to chaos, untidiness, or abnormalities, and does not consistently change with heat energy and thermodynamic temperature.

Some argue that “disorder” in thermodynamics means how close a system is to thermodynamic equilibrium or a measure of energy’s diffusion or dispersal^[31]. This argument contradicts the meaning of disorder (chaos, untidiness, or abnormalities) and the OFU nature of entropy (entropy is analogous to energy with the unit of J/K and is heat energy mathematically divided by the relevant thermodynamic temperature).

3.5. Is Schrödinger's negative entropy notion correct

Nobel laureate Erwin Schrödinger stated in 1944 that life relies on negative entropy in his famous tiny book, *What is life*^{[2][7]} (Box 3). Schrödinger’s negative entropy notion contradicts Boltzmann’s statement that animals struggle for plants’ entropy (see Section 3.3). Schrödinger’s notion is based on the change of the quantity W in the Boltzmann formula with disorder (D) without giving robust reasons [Box 3], and the notion that entropy represents disorder, which has been challenged in Section 3.4. Nevertheless, this notion has garnered widespread acceptance for nearly 80 years, with only a few criticisms^{[7][47][48]}. For

instance, Google Scholar showed that Schrödinger's book, *What is life*, had been positively cited over 11,000 times, mainly due to the inclusion of the negative entropy notion. In 2023, this book was cited nearly 600 times, as exemplified by six references^{[8][9][10][11][12][49]}, with few doubts about this notion.

[Part 1] ... a living organism continually increases its entropy, or, as you may say, produces positive entropy and thus tends to approach the dangerous state of maximum entropy, which is of death. It can only keep aloof from it, i.e. alive, by continually drawing from its surroundings negative entropy — which is something very positive as we shall immediately see. What an organism feeds upon is negative entropy. Or, to put it less paradoxically, the essential thing in metabolism is that the organism succeeds in freeing itself from all the entropy it cannot help producing while alive...

[Part 2] ... If D is a measure of disorder, its reciprocal, 1/D, can be regarded as a direct measure of order. Since the logarithm of 1/D is just minus the logarithm of D, we can write Boltzmann's equation thus:

$$-(\text{entropy}) = k \log (1/D)$$

Hence the awkward expression 'negative entropy' can be replaced by a better one: entropy, taken with the negative sign, is itself a measure of order. Thus the device by which an organism maintains itself stationary at a fairly high level of orderliness (= fairly low level of entropy) really consists in continually sucking orderliness from its surroundings. This conclusion is less paradoxical than it appears at first sight. Rather could it be blamed for triviality. Indeed in the case of higher animals we know the kind of orderliness they feed upon well enough, viz. the extremely well-ordered state of matter in more or less complicated organic compounds, which serve them as foodstuffs. After utilizing it they return it in a very much degraded form — not entirely degraded, however, for plants can still make use of it (These, of course, have their most powerful supply of negative entropy in the sunlight)...

Box 3. Two parts of the book *What is life*. The underlined sentences constituted the three viewpoints of Schrödinger's notion.

Coinciding with the popularity of this notion, English Wikipedia and other academic websites deliberately added “negative” with brackets in Boltzmann's statement before “entropy”^{[13][50]} (Box 2). However, it is unlikely that Boltzmann neglected the word “negative” because: 1) the concept of negative

entropy was coined after his death; 2) “negative entropy” is distinct from “entropy”; and 3) Boltzmann's statement is correct, as given in Section 3.3.

Schrödinger's notion contained three viewpoints (Box 3), which are all challenged below.

Its first viewpoint, that entropy represents disorder and is thus detrimental to animals, has been challenged in Section 3.3.

Its second viewpoint is that animals rely on food to reduce their entropy and generate order to offset the spontaneous increase in their entropy or disorder. This viewpoint assumes that animal food contains less entropy than animal excreta, so animals can obtain order due to entropy decline (i.e., negative entropy) because the entropy they dissipate into the surroundings is greater than the entropy they obtain from low-entropy food. This viewpoint is questionable because the order of life is encoded and provided by genes inherited from parents and accumulates through long-term natural selection^[46]. The order of life is neither provided by food nor suddenly emerges due to entropy decline or negative entropy. Furthermore, food provides animals with energy and, thus, entropy to support their lives (see Section 3.3) (Fig. 1). The assumption that animal food contains less entropy than animal excreta is questionable because food metabolism dissipates heat, and hence animal food contains more entropy than animal excreta. Additionally, during the developmental process from a fertilized egg into a prenatal baby of a human, the entropy of the life system increases by millions of times because entropy is an additive property, and the increased entropy is from the food consumed by the baby's mother.




Figure 1. Three pillars of animal lives and their origins.

The third viewpoint of Schrödinger's notion is that sunlight provides negative entropy to plants. This viewpoint is incorrect because, according to the OFU nature of entropy, the entropy of plants, stones, ices, and any other objects increases rather than reduces when they absorb heat from sunlight. Some of the energy or entropy absorbed by plants is stored as chemical energy in carbohydrate molecules through heat-absorbing photosynthesis^[25]. Furthermore, in biology, sunlight or any type of energy cannot directly provide order to plants, and the order in plants is also encoded and provided by inherited genes and accumulates through long-term natural selection rather than from the environment, food, and entropy decline^[46].

In recent decades, negative entropy has also been employed to represent information^[51], which is also controversial^[52].

3.6. A prevailing misuse of the second law of thermodynamics

The second law of thermodynamics can be mathematically expressed as the entropy of an isolated system increasing over time until all parts of the system reach the same temperature (see Section 3.1). Since entropy is widely accepted to be a measure of disorder, it is widely assumed by researchers from various fields, such as physics, chemistry, biology, medicine, and social sciences, that many natural or

social systems tend to become increasingly disordered due to entropy, or the second law of thermodynamics^{[4][5][8][9][10][11][12][18][20][30][31][32][33][34][35][49]}. As elucidated in Section 3.4, entropy cannot be a measure of disorder, so the above assumption is incorrect.

The second law is on the direction of heat transfer, and the mechanisms of heat transfer, namely heat conduction associated with unordered molecular vibration and collisions, heat convection associated with mixing of the molecules in a fluid (gas or liquid), and electromagnetic radiation, have been revealed by modern physics^{[6][7][22]}. Accordingly, the second law can be so expressed: heat energy can spontaneously exchange between a hot object and a cold object, and more heat energy, including the energy of random molecular collisions and the energy of electromagnetic radiation, is transferred from the hot object to the cold object than vice versa. This explanation clarifies that the second law does not indicate a natural progression from order to disorder, and thus it does not contradict evolution.

Furthermore, it could be a fact that many systems tend to become increasingly disordered. This possible fact results from certain statistical probabilities because many systems are more likely to stay in a disordered state than in an orderly state. However, these statistical probabilities are barely associated with heat transfer. Therefore, the possible fact is not due to entropy or the second law of thermodynamics, a law that only states heat transfer direction.

The reasoning errors of the three notions challenged above are summarized in Fig. 2.




Figure 2. The flawed reasoning steps, which are shown with blue arrows, for the three misconceptions, which are challenged by this article and shown in blue boxes here. The flawed reasoning is disproven here by the correct reasoning steps, which are shown with red arrows.

4. Discussion

As elucidated above, although the three notions challenged in this article have encountered a few challenges^{[2][6][7][19][39][40][41]}, primarily from a single aspect, they have been widely accepted and frequently applied in life sciences and other disciplines for decades, misleading countless common people and many researchers through numerous mediums such as textbooks, research papers, and online social communications. Consequently, these notions have become a significant stumbling block and have caused severe pollution in science in recent decades.

This article systematically challenges the three notions. The systematic challenge has the potential to eradicate the entrenched misleading effects of the notions in life sciences and beyond. Furthermore, it could reshape the understanding of entropy, energy, disorder, order, life, thermodynamics, and rigorous reasoning among researchers and the general public. It could rectify and facilitate the relevant scientific research on complexity, order, and evolution^{[6][14][36][37][38][53][54]}. For instance, the systematic challenge

suggests that the second law of thermodynamics neither correlates with the changes of disorder nor contradicts evolution, a natural process characterized by an increase in order.

5. Conclusions

This article systematically challenges three notions prevailing in diverse disciplines: 1) Entropy is a measure of disorder; 2) life relies on negative entropy; 3) and many systems tend to become increasingly disordered due to the second law of thermodynamics, using the OFU nature of entropy and numerous compelling facts in physics, chemistry, and biology. The challenge, if widely accepted, could facilitate the eradication of the entrenched misleading effects of the misconceptions in diverse disciplines.

Statements and Declarations

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Author Contribution

Conceptualization: J.-M. C.; Investigation: J.-M. C., J.-W. C., R. Z.; Writing—original draft preparation: J.-M. C.; writing—review and editing: J.-M. C., J.-W. C., R. Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Meng Yang for her various constructive comments. This work was supported by the High-Level Talent Fund of Foshan University (No. 20210036).

References

1. ^{a, b, c, d}Boltzmann, L. *The second law of thermodynamics. In Theoretical physics and philosophical problems: Select writings*; McGuinness, B. F., Ed.; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 1974; pp. 13–33.
2. ^{a, b, c, d, e}Schrodinger, E. *What is life*; Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2012; pp. 67–75.
3. ^ADewar, R. C. Maximum entropy production and plant optimization theories. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.* 2010, 365, 1429–1435.

4. ^a, ^b, ^c, ^d, ^e Zivieri, R., & Pacini, N. Entropy Density Acceleration and Minimum Dissipation Principle: Correlation with Heat and Matter Transfer in Glucose Catabolism. *Entropy* 2018, 20(12), 929.
5. ^a, ^b, ^c Prigogine, I. Time, structure and fluctuation (Nobel Lecture). *Science* 1978, 201, 777-785.
6. ^a, ^b, ^c, ^d, ^e Kleidon, A. Life, hierarchy, and thermodynamic machinery of planet Earth. *Phys. Life Rev.* 2010, 7, 424-460.
7. ^a, ^b, ^c, ^d, ^e, ^f Bejan, A. Evolution in thermodynamics. *Appl. Phys. Rev.* 2017, 4, 011305.
8. ^a, ^b, ^c Moberg, C. Schrödinger's What is Life?—The 75th Anniversary of a book that inspired biology. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2020, 59, 2550-2553.
9. ^a, ^b, ^c Portugali, J. Schrödinger's What is Life?—Complexity, cognition and the city. *Entropy* 2023, 25, 872.
10. ^a, ^b, ^c Zivieri, R., & Pacini, N. Is an entropy-based approach suitable for an understanding of the metabolic pathways of fermentation and respiration? *Entropy* 2017, 19(12), 662.
11. ^a, ^b, ^c Swenson, R. A grand unified theory for the unification of physics, life, information and cognition (minid). *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* 2023, 381, 20220277.
12. ^a, ^b, ^c Cushman, S. A. Entropy, ecology and evolution: Toward a unified philosophy of biology. *Entropy*, 2023, 25, 405.
13. ^a, ^b, ^c Entropy and life. Available online: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Entropy_and_life (accessed on 27 Aug 2024).
14. ^a, ^b, ^c Roach, T. N. F. Use and abuse of entropy in biology: A case for caliber. *Entropy* 2020, 22, 1335.
15. ^a, ^b Negentropy. Available at: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Negentropy> (accessed on 27 Aug 2024).
16. ^a, ^b Bejan, A. The principle underlying all evolution, biological, geophysical, social and technological. *Philos. Trans. A. Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 2023, 381, 20220288.
17. ^a, ^b, ^c Clausius, R. Ueber eine veränderte Form des zweiten Hauptsatzes der mechanischen Wärmetheorie. *Annalen der Physik und Chemie* 1854, 93, 481-506.
18. ^a, ^b, ^c, ^d, ^e, ^f, ^g, ^h, ⁱ, ^j, ^k, ^l Borgnakke, C.; Sonntag, R. E. *Fundamentals of Thermodynamics*; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2022.
19. ^a, ^b, ^c, ^d, ^e, ^f, ^g, ^h, ⁱ, ^j, ^k DeVoe, H. *Thermodynamics and Chemistry*. Available online: <https://www2.chem.umd.edu/thermobook/v10-screen.pdf> (accessed on 27 Aug 2024).
20. ^a, ^b, ^c, ^d, ^e, ^f, ^g, ^h, ⁱ, ^j, ^k Zhang, S.H.; An, Y.; Ruan, D.; Li, Y. S. *College Physics—Mechanics & Thermodynamics*; Tsinghua University Press: Beijing, China, 2018.

21. ^a, ^b, ^c, ^d, ^eEntropy in physics. Available online: <https://www.britannica.com/science/entropy-physics> (accessed on 27 Aug 2024).
22. ^a, ^b, ^c, ^d, ^e, ^fEntropy. Available online: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/entropy> (accessed on 27 Aug 2024).
23. ^ΔFreeland, S. Undefined life's biochemistry: implications for abiogenesis. *J. R. Soc. Interface* 2022, 19, 20210814.
24. ^a, ^b, ^cPlanck, M. On the law of distribution of energy in the normal spectrum. *Annalen der Physik*, 1901, 4, 553–562.
25. ^a, ^bAnderson, G. M. *Thermodynamics of natural systems*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2005.
26. ^ΔBaierlein, R. *Thermal Physics*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1999.
27. ^ΔTolley, H. D., Woodfield, B. F., & Hansen, L. D. Counting microstates via heat capacity and implications for the third law. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* 2022, 171, 106807.
28. ^a, ^b, ^cKabo, G. J.; et al. Thermodynamic properties of organic substances: Experiment, modeling, and technological applications. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* 2019, 131, 225–246.
29. ^a, ^bMauzerall, D. Thermodynamics of primary photosynthesis. *Photosynth. Res.* 2013, 116, 363–366.
30. ^a, ^bEntropy. Available online: <https://www.oxfordreference.com/search?q=entropy> (accessed on 27 Aug 2024).
31. ^a, ^b, ^cEntropy (order and disorder). Available online: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Entropy_\(order_and_disorder\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Entropy_(order_and_disorder)) (accessed on 27 Aug 2024).
32. ^a, ^b, ^cEntropy and disorder. Available online: <https://www.britannica.com/science/principles-of-physical-science/Entropy-and-disorder> (accessed on 27 Aug 2024).
33. ^a, ^b, ^cSaxberg B, Vrajitoarea A, Roberts G, Panetta MG, Simon J, Schuster DI. Disorder-assisted assembly of strongly correlated fluids of light. *Nature* 2022; 612: 435–441.
34. ^a, ^b, ^cBaker JE. Cells solved the Gibbs paradox by learning to contain entropic forces. *Sci Rep* 2023; 13: 16604.
35. ^a, ^b, ^cAristov VV, Karnaukhov AV, Buchelnikov AS, Levchenko VF, Nechipurenko YD. The degradation and aging of biological systems as a process of information loss and entropy increase. *Entropy* 2023; 25: 1067.
36. ^a, ^bFriston K, Da Costa L, Sakthivadivel DA, Heins C, Pavliotis GA, Ramstead M, Parr T. Path integrals, particular kinds, and strange things. *Phys Life Rev* 2023; 47: 36–62.
37. ^a, ^bJennings RC, Belgio E, Zucchelli G. Photosystem I, when excited in the chlorophyll Qy absorption band, feeds on negative entropy. *Biophys Chem* 2018; 233: 36–46.

38. ^{a, b}Ramstead MJD, Badcock PB, Friston KJ. Answering Schrödinger's question: A free-energy formulation. *Phys Life Rev* 2018; 24: 1-16.
39. ^{a, b}Chen J. A new evolutionary theory deduced mathematically from entropy amplification. *Chin Sci Bull* 2000; 45: 91-96.
40. ^{a, b}Lambert FL. Disorder—A cracked crutch for supporting entropy discussions. *J Chem Educ* 2002; 79: 187.
41. ^{a, b}Grandy WT. *Entropy and the Time Evolution of Macroscopic Systems*; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 2008; pp. 55-58.
42. ^{a, b}Disorder. Available online: <https://www.oxfordreference.com/search?q=disorder> (accessed on 27 Aug 2024).
43. ^{a, b}Disorder (Collins Dictionaries) Available online: <https://www.collinsdictionary.com/us/dictionary/english/disorder> (accessed on 27 Aug 2024).
44. ^ΔNicolis G, Prigogine I. *Exploring Complexity: An Introduction*. W.H. Freeman and Company, 1989.
45. ^ΔLi H, Seugnet L. Decoding the nexus: branched-chain amino acids and their connection with sleep, circadian rhythms, and cardiometabolic health. *Neural Regen Res* 2025; 20(5): 1350-1363.
46. ^{a, b, c}Futuyma DJ, Kirkpatrick M. *Evolution*. Sinauer Associates USA, Sunderland, 2017.
47. ^ΔPauling L. Schrödinger's contribution to chemistry and biology. In *Schrödinger Centenary celebration of a polymath*; Kilmister CW, Ed.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1987; pp. 225-233.
48. ^ΔChen JM, Chen JW. *Root of Science: The driving force and mechanism of the extensive evolution*. Science Press: Beijing, China, 2000.
49. ^{a, b}Roth Y. What is life? The observer prescriptive. *Results Phys* 2023; 49: 106449.
50. ^ΔLudwig Boltzmann quotes. <https://www.goodreads.com/author/quotes/178457>.
51. ^ΔBrillouin L. The negentropy principle of information. *J Appl Phys* 1953; 24: 1152-1163.
52. ^ΔWilson JA. Entropy, not negentropy. *Nature* 1968; 219: 535-536.
53. ^ΔChen JM, Chen JW. Carbon-Based Evolutionary Theory. *Preprints* 2024; <https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/202010.0004/v17>.
54. ^ΔTolley D, Woodfield BF, Hansen LD. Positional microstates and probability fields in real systems. *Pure Appl Chem* 2024; doi:10.1515/pac-2024-0221.

Declarations

Funding: This work was supported by the High-Level Talent Fund of Foshan University (No. 20210036).

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.