

# Review of: "The Nexus between Energy Policies and Supply: A Descriptive Evaluation of Nigeria and UK Energy Sectors"

1

1 Jiangsu University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

Hello, Mr. Sani Mohammed, it is my great honor to have this opportunity to review your manuscript and publish my comments in collaboration with other reviewer scientists through the Qeios platform. My suggestions are as follows:

First of all, in the literature review of the second part of your literature, there is a problem that some of the selected literatures were published earlier. In this case, the authenticity of the data and the timeliness of the conclusions of the literatures are doubtful. You can add some recent literatures (for example, within 5 years).

Secondly, in your section 2.1.2, You have selected literature [8] and [9] to confirm that "the provision of adequate energy is essential for economic growth which perhaps translates to an improvement in the quality of energy is the conclusion of the standard of living for an ever-growing population ". The two literatures draw different conclusions about the relationship between energy and economic growth. Therefore, the conclusions drawn from only the two literatures are questionable. You can add more literatures that can prove the conclusions.

Thirdly, in your data analysis, is there a problem with the decision to compare the UK with Nigeria? Because the economic development levels of the UK and Nigeria are quite different, the data of the two countries are not comparable. And Nigeria's per capita energy consumption is vastly different from Britain's. So the mere fact that Nigeria was once a British colony and followed British policies is not reasonable.

Finally, I hope you can optimize part of the grammar and language description to make it more objective, scientific and academic. Look forward to your paper revision result!