

# Review of: "The Impact of TikTok on Students: A Literature Review"

Yusuf-Audu Nurat Tosin

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

(1) The abstract needs to be re-written. The Opening sentence, objectives, methods, findings, conclusions and recommendation should all reflect in the abstract. The abstract should be a single -line spacing and brief with the above parameters into consideration.

(2) There is no operational definition of key terms. This will allow people to have proper understanding within the context the research is dwelling on.

(3) The second paragraph in the introduction needs to be re-written. "TikTok is not only a platform that represents various opportunities for the dissemination of knowledge in various fields of science" That is limited. TikTok does not represent only various fields of science but other human activities (be it science, arts, social sciences, religion e.t.c). That should be rephrased.

(4) The background needs to be rewritten to focus on the subject of discourse, there is the need for it to be well articulated. Range of examples needs to be given. It should be broad and still concise.

(5) The last paragraph in the introduction "Overall.....should be re-written to focus on the study of discourse so that readers do not get confused.

(5) The statement of problem is identified but range of examples needs to be stated to show the in-depth of research. The topic is a broad one and if the researcher is not giving specific examples giving the kind of topic researched on, then the topic should be narrowed down.

(6) The objective part is missing to guide the study. There is the need for the objectives to be clearly stated or research questions. This will give the research a proper direction and focus.

(7) In the literature review, the authors need to synthesize with the topic of discussion. There should be synergy and difference.

(8) There is need to fill in the gaps for the literature review. The literature review needs to be revisited. In "TikTok and Academic Purpose", the area of productivity for TikTok needs to be itemised.

(9) There is the need to properly define the 'choking challenge or passout challenge'. This should be contained in the statement of problem.

- (10) There is a problem of methodology. The research methodology is not stated and one begin to wonder whether the study is based on generalizaion only.
- (11) The authours did not explain the sampling size and sampling technique used for the research.
- (12) The authours did not explain the research instrument and how the variables were measured. This will help to eliminate any doubt or bias in the study.
- (13) There is more of recommendation than a proper conclusion. There is need for theoretical framework, reseearch methodology, findings, conclusions and recommendation which should be the last.
- (14) Google citation is not accepted at all. Authours needs to learn how to do proper referencing.
- (15) There should be organization of work and the need to tidy up properly.