

Review of: "Perception of Biodiversity versus Connection to Nature: Which Can Influence Wildlife Product Consumption in Vietnam?"

Ilaria Rigo¹

¹ University of Genoa

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I thank the editor for the opportunity to review this paper.

The submitted manuscript, entitled "Perception of Biodiversity versus Connection to Nature: Which Can Influence Wildlife Product Consumption in Vietnam?", reported that the major cause of biodiversity loss in Vietnam is the consumption of wildlife products (WPC) and, in this study, we investigate the socio-demographic factors that characterize the connection with nature (CTN) and perception of biodiversity (POB) underlying WPC.

The article is well written, the english language is well used, and schemes are useful to better represent what is explained.

I have few small suggestions to recommend to improve the manuscript, which I mention below:

Keywords:

I suggest not to repeat the same word of the title.

-

Introduction:

Citations in the text should always be given in the same order (date or alphabetical).

I would use chapter numbering that describes POB, CTN, etc. because otherwise the text is confusing.

I suggest to produce a table to describe results about questions, statements, scores and scale for both all point to synthesize them.

The paragraph "General knowledge of biodiversity" from "general" to "problem" could be moved into material and methods because it is a description of the questions and types of responses.

Discussion:

From "In addition" to "2029": this part could be an example to further investigate this study with regard to the age of the subjects to also compare with these studies reported here.

I suggest to better describe the consumer behaviour theory that you introduce to explain the wildlife products.

From “Remarkably” to “measures”: are these fewer in number than described above of the typical Vietnamese population?

It seems that the sentences “But, in developed” and “ Thus, with limited” contradict each other.

Reference:

When there are two authors you use sometimes “&” and sometimes not. Choose one way.

Attention to coma and point before years.