

Review of: "The Three-Decade Journey of Nation-State Formation: Examining Strategic Planning Models and Policy Frameworks Tailored for the Sequential Stages of Nation-Building, Emphasizing the Significance of Each Phase in the Overall Development and Sustainability of a Nation-State"

Ana Sofia Duque1

1 Polytechnic Institute of Viseu

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Researcher.

The subject of the article is very pertinent. I believe that the objectives set for the article are very important. However, there are some aspects that could be adjusted, thus increasing the quality of the publication. Here are my suggestions:

- The title of the article is too long. It ends up not capturing the reader's attention, as it reads like a paragraph of text. I suggest reducing it and just saying the essentials. The title itself contains several identical, repetitive expressions.
- The introduction is very brief and doesn't contain as much information as it should. In other words, an introduction
 presents the themes of the article, explains the objectives, and briefly states how these objectives will be achieved (the
 methodology is mentioned). It's also common to see the structure of the article in the introduction, but in this case it's
 not.
- The Literature Review is divided into several topics, and all of them are poorly developed. I think the author should restructure this part of the text. Before presenting each of the phases, he should introduce a paragraph explaining to the reader what he is going to do next, because the way it is, it's not very clear. I also suggest that the author explains whether the phases he presents were inspired by other authors who study the same subjects, or whether the author created these phases himself.
- Point 3 of the article (theoretical review) should come before point 2, I think. It's the explanation of the phases that the author presents first.
- I suggest that the author tries to shorten some parts of the article; it is very long and sometimes repetitive. There are parts of the text that could perhaps be converted into tables so that the text wouldn't be so heavy.
- I don't understand why the author presents a list of other references before the list of references in the article. I've
 never seen this in any article, and it's not common. Each article's list of references should contain the studies that were
 consulted and used in writing the work.
- The list of references presented is not very up-to-date. The most recent work cited by the author is from 2017 (more



than 6 years old). The author should make an additional effort to find more recent authors and studies that are relevant to the topic under analysis.

Congratulations on the work carried out so far; it is a very relevant topic that can be useful for professionals in the sector, but some changes are needed to improve its quality.