# Review of: "Notes on the Implications of Ignoring Bayes' Rule in Search and Rescue Practice in the UK"

Mehmet Akif Cifci[1]

1 Bandirma ONYEDİ Eylul University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper is involved in applying Bayes' rule to search and rescue operations. It covers aspects such as estimating probabilities of area (POAs), adjusting probabilities based on new information, the complexities of calculating probabilities of detection (PODs), and the practical difficulties faced by search managers in implementing Bayesian calculations. Additionally, it touches on the implications of different search strategies and the potential benefits of incorporating Bayesian principles to improve search effectiveness. Overall, it provides insights into the complexities and nuances of decision-making in search and rescue scenarios.

- In real-life search and rescue scenarios, applying Bayes' rule to adjust probabilities can be tricky.
- Search managers often face challenges in estimating the original probabilities of areas (POAs).
- Constructing POAs involves combining various types of information, including statistical data and anecdotal evidence from family and friends of the missing person.
- Disagreement exists on the best method for adjusting POAs, with practical difficulties in implementing Bayesian calculations repeatedly.
- Maintaining relative probabilities between segments requires continual adjustments, which can be cumbersome for search managers.
- Normalizing POAs to sum up to one can help, but it may not fully alleviate the challenges of interpreting the data.
- Despite theoretical benefits, rigorous POA adjustment is rarely practiced in the UK.
- Instead, search managers often opt for simpler strategies, like maintaining high probabilities of detection.
- In practice, search operations tend to expand rather than repeat searches in subsequent iterations.
- The focus is typically on first-round results rather than optimizing search strategies over multiple iterations.
- Calculating probabilities of detection (PODs) also poses challenges, often relying on estimations by search parties.
- Adjusting PODs based on factors like terrain, visibility, and fatigue is essential but inherently uncertain.
- The effectiveness of search strategies is influenced by factors like the number of searchers, their speed, and the likelihood of finding the missing person alive.
- Technological advancements, like watershed maps and drone usage, have improved search efficiency but introduce additional complexities.
- The illustration demonstrates how applying Bayes' rule can lead to different POA estimates compared to traditional methods.
- Bayes' rule may indicate a greater need to revisit previously searched areas than the traditional approach suggests.

- The difference between the two approaches varies depending on the initial POAs and PODs.
- Search managers may be more inclined to expand the search area rather than re-do segments based on the traditional approach.
- However, the difference is less pronounced for smaller initial POAs, such as individual segments.
- These findings underscore the importance of considering Bayesian principles in search and rescue operations.
- Despite the challenges, incorporating Bayes' rule can lead to more informed decision-making and potentially improve search effectiveness.
- Continued research and development are necessary to refine search strategies and maximize the chances of finding missing persons.
- Collaboration between search teams, researchers, and technology developers is essential for advancing search and rescue practices.
- Ultimately, the goal is to minimize uncertainty and optimize resource allocation to save lives in critical situations.