

Review of: "The Consequences of Political and Economic Choices: Exploring Disaster Vulnerability with the Structure, Resource, and Behaviour Change model (SRAB)"

Aznarul Islam¹

1 Aliah University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Paper: The Consequences of Political and Economic Choices: Exploring Disaster Vulnerability with the Structure, Resource, and Behaviour Change model (SRAB)

The paper is well conceived and well written. It may be considered for publication after authors carry out major revision. My comments are below.

- 1. Abbreviation (SRAB) from the title may be removed.
- 2. The title of the paper is so general while the 'Introduction: Recent Disasters in the Vietnamese Mekong Delta' is so particular. I can suggest keep the head "Introduction' under which you can review the literature from the own study area as well as from the world perspectives. Then find out some research gaps, set few specific objectives/research questions. The section on 'The 'Root Cause of Disaster Vulnerability' may either be merged with introduction or may be moved to discussion. Some relevant papers may be consulted to develop your work for the international readers.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2021.101751

https://doi.org/10.1007/s12061-021-09384-5

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2023.103557

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2021.102775

- 3. The study seems very regionally grounded. Why international readers will read it? Please increase the appeal of your work through contextualization of the results in the global perspective.
- 4. In data and methodology section, you selected 28 households. What is the justification behind this? You also do not mention the sampling methods.
- 5. Relation among rainfall, salinity and rice production becomes too descriptive. If correlation or other statistical techniques portraying the magnitude of relation may be easy to understand for the readers.
- 6. If it is possible to quantify the changes of farming behaviour (division of area under each crop) then easily the changes can be understood.



7. In general, the article is too lengthy and may be shorted to some extent.