

Review of: "Extension and Revision of Monetary Policy Transmission Mechanisms and Their Impact on Domestic Real Investment in Nigeria: A Time Series Study from 1981 to 2015 by Lucky Anyike and Uzah Cheta Kingsley"

Abubakar Sani Ibrahim¹

¹ Central Bank of Nigeria

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The topic is interesting and relevant. However, the paper failed to clearly state the motivation that ignited the interest of the study. The objective was not clear, and there was no justification for the methodology used. It is difficult to determine the contribution of the paper as the data used is outdated, and there are numerous studies on monetary policy transmission that are recent.

The reference style does not conform to the APA reference standard, while the written style and tone require significant improvement. Authors may wish to consult papers published in standard journals for guidance.

Consider the specific comments below:

1. Connect the discussion in the first paragraph of the introduction with monetary policy making/operations in Nigeria and the focus of the study.
2. Be more direct with the presentation of the motivations in the introductory section. Although there are discussions, they should be reworked to really address the motivations (theoretical, empirical, policy attractions) in distinct paragraphs.
3. Provide justification for the methodology and the variables.
4. Please format the manuscript properly. There are too many errors that cannot be overlooked. The services of a professional editor may be required.
5. The abstract section is by default designed to summarize all the essential components of a study, but in a very concise manner. I advise the author to rewrite the abstract in a way that concisely highlights the motivation and contribution of the study as well as the striking findings of the study. Avoid using "the authors."

Finally, in my opinion, the paper should not be accepted in its current state for publication.