

Review of: "Review on measuring volatility of cryptocurrencies: 1980-2020"

Nuryasman Mn¹

¹ Universitas Tarumanagara

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract;

The abstract of this article has not been able to show the phenomenon of the research. The population and sample, methods used, and results are not clearly described.

Introduction;

The introduction of this article does not explain the reasons for the author's interest in choosing this topic for research. You have not clearly described the phenomenon of research. There is no supporting data and information that can strengthen the importance of this topic for research.

Literature Review

The literature review put forward by the author is quite good, although a theory has not yet been drawn that can be used to dissect this article's problems.

Result and Discussion;

The structure of this article does not reflect standard scientific article writing (at least one of the IMRAD or Non-IMRAD models can be used). The discussion is limited to summarizing the various types of volatility measurement models but does not show the advantages and disadvantages of each.

We recommend that each model is applied with actual data from cryptocurrencies so that it will be seen which model is better at explaining the volatility of cryptocurrencies.

How the author can make some findings related to the volatility of cryptocurrencies while the data used is not precise. Furthermore, how can the author state that specific models can explain the volatility of cryptocurrencies influenced by various factors, such as macroeconomic factors, while the author does not propose a model of the relationship between cryptocurrencies and these factors?

Conclusion

The conclusions of this study are not based on sound data and information and the use of a comprehensive model. So the decision becomes unjustifiable.

References

The updating of references is relatively low, less than 50 per cent.

The general conclusion of this article, it is not feasible to say that it is a scientific article that can contribute to the development of science.