## Review of: "A Brief Summary of Prompting in Using GPT Models"

## Deepali Bajaj<sup>1</sup>

1 University of Delhi

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Please make following improvements in the paper to make it more refined.

- 1. Paper has done exhaustive survey on ChatGPT's application areas. However, research gap is not completely visible i.e. what problem paper is addressing? Though it is more like a review paper, then please indicate why such a review is required.
- 2. LLM acronym used in section 1 but explained in later sections.
- 3. Resemblance to the block chain world in section 1 is not clear.
- 4. Some important papers where power of GPT has been utilized like <u>MUCE: a multilingual use case model extractor</u> <u>using GPT-3</u>" and "Patent claim generation by fine-tuning OpenAI GPT-2" should be considered in literature review.
- 5. One-shot and few-shot learning should also be discussed in the paper.
- 6. Include cases where ChatGPT has failed. One such example is:
  - a. <u>https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/education/news/ai-chatbot-chatgpt-fails-to-clear-upsc-cse-prelims-2022-by-</u> <u>30/articleshow/98441372.cms</u>
  - b. <u>https://www.indiatoday.in/technology/news/story/chatgpt-fails-jee-advanced-manages-to-solve-only-11-questions-in-both-papers-2358952-2023-04-12</u>
  - c. https://enterprisetalk.com/future-ready/possible-failures-of-chatgpt/
- 7. Improvement of chatGPT over its predecessor GPT-3 should be included in the article.