

Review of: ""Sex" and "gender" as constitutional categories — Critical notes from iusfeminist legal dogmatics"

Anna Simonati¹

¹ University of Trento

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I totally agree with the Reviews by Leandro Giri and Giorgia Baldi. I add some more remarks, in order to help the Author in the development of the paper.

First, given the important and complex issue taken into account, the (interesting) paper seems to me a little too short. As the colleagues already suggested, some points should be better explained. For instance, I think that the importance of Kahneman's theory in the perspective of legal research should not be taken for granted; this point deserves a closer look.

I also suggest to think a little more about research question Number 6: "What is the practical dimension of gender mainstreaming in the legal praxis of the forum, in the drafting of legal reports, in the drafting of claims and complaints, in the insertion of contractual clauses, in the planning of social responsibility measures, in gender impact reports, in remuneration audits, etc.?" At present the paper does not contain the answer. But the most important element, which in my view should be considered, is that the mentioned acts and fields are quite different from one-another. Consequently, gender can matter differently in each of them. That's why a case study approach could be very useful indeed.

I hope that this remarks may be useful and I strongly encourage the Author to go on in the study on this important and challenging subject.

I wish all the best!