

Review of: "Investment Through IPO in Cuttack City of Odisha: Trends & Perception Analysis"

Francis Kuma

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The abstract of the study needs reviewing because among other things the findings of the study are not clearly started.

The authors need to state their findings/results in this section.

The objective of the study has been clearly stated in the introduction; however, the study requires review of more literature because enough literature has not been reviewed to support such an important topic such as IPOs. More scholarly works on IPO need to be reviewed.

The method used in collecting data for the study was not properly stated, thereby creating some confusion in the mind of readers. The authors therefore need to explain in details the method used in data gathering and the sampling techniques they used. In addition, there is the need for detailed explanation for tools used in analysing data for the study.

The conclusion of the study should be linked with the objective of the study and the recommendations should be embedded in the conclusion without creating a separate section for it. The paper is full of grammatical errors and therefore requires proof reading.

The paper is recommended for publication after all the amendments have been carried out.