Review of: "A Bibliometric Review of CSR in China Based on CNKI Database: 2006-2022"

Christos Lemonakis[1]

1 Hellenic Mediterranean University

Dear authors,

I have carefully reviewed your paper entitled "A Bibliometric Review of CSR in China Based on CNKI Database: 2006-2022" and congratulate you on your valuable contribution to CSR research in China.

Your paper provides a comprehensive bibliometric analysis of CSR research trends in China using CSSCI journal literature from 2006 to 2022. The study is well structured and covers key aspects of CSR research such as literature output, co-occurrence of keywords, clustering, timeline, and mutation rate detection. The study not only provides information on the current status of CSR research in China, but also paves the way for future research directions in this field and provides valuable insights for universities and enterprises.

I also pointed out some specific improvement points mentioned in this review.

To improve the clarity and significance of your paper, I suggest the following minor revisions:

1. The research topic and the scholarly gap need to be better clarified. In the introduction, you should more clearly state the specific topic of your research and the scientific gap it fills in the existing literature. This will further support the rationale for your study.

2. A detailed description of the data set and credibility is required. You can include a section in the methodology explaining how you obtained the final dataset from the China Knowledge Network and what steps you took to increase the credibility of your research. This will increase the transparency and reproducibility of your study.

3. In the "Analysis of Key Trends" section, you can include a combined conclusion for the 7 clusters used in the analysis. Improving the analysis by highlighting new results or insights gained by combining the different clusters would be important for the quality of this paper.

4. Also, you could better highlight the actual contribution of the research. I suggest that in the Discussion and Conclusion section, you analyse the actual contribution of CSR-related research in China in more detail. Improve the discussion to better capture the significance and impact of the research findings.

I am confident that with the suggested minor revisions, your work will be even more valuable to the academic community and readers.

Your work has the potential to make a productive impact on CSR research.