

# Review of: "Economics of Cattle Fattening - A Case of Bangladesh"

Mohammad Sharifi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Tehran

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Editor

I appreciate the opportunity to review the manuscript.

In my opinion, the introduction is too long, as some of the context elements have to be merged with the introduction, and others have to be placed within the methodology.

The methodology has to be further developed. Why were data collected in 2018 only being published in 2024? Were the enquiries or surveys conducted before or after Eid Al Azha?

The article provides valuable insights into the profitability and marketing system of cattle fattening in Bangladesh.

The paragraphs are long, and there are truncated texts, including in the Abstract. This issue is highlighted in the Introduction and Review of Literature.

The beginning of the Introduction is long and does not take long to present the problem; it could be completely suppressed.

In the Introduction, check the extensive use of the word "poor" and rewrite sentences such as "large ruminants are cattle and buffalo, and small ruminants are sheep and goats constitutive the major proportion of livestock." (Consider revising for clarity as well.)

The goals were presented vaguely and, although obvious from the context, had to expressly mention Bangladesh.

The references cited in the Review of Literature are largely outdated, with the most recent ones dating back at least 10 years and many dating back 20 years. In addition to being poorly updated, this framework weakens the idea that no work of this kind was carried out.

The Review of Literature citations from other countries are poorly linked to each other, and there are very truncated texts with short periods.

The conclusions are a repetition of results and look like a summary. The Conclusion portion has to be rewritten as per the findings.

The article is suitable for publication only after major revision.