

# Review of: "Safe City Concept in Smart City Planning"

Parastoo Parivar<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Yazd University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The following items are suggested to improve the quality of the article entitled " Safe City Concept in Smart City Planning".

While appreciating the efforts of the authors for addressing the important issue of the smart city, which will be especially important in the post-Corona era, the following points are emphasized to improve the quality of the article:

- The abstract is written in qualitative sentences. The abstract covers general information about the topic. It needs to be modified and rewritten based on the most important results and achievements from this research. So, the abstract should be redesigned.
- This article could benefit from more recent studies. The authors were expected to develop a specific set of indicators, standards, and criteria based on a comprehensive literature review related to the two main keywords of the research, i.e. safe city and smart city, and combining these two keywords. So that they can evaluate this important issue with a systematic methodology.
- Research methodology is not clear. How the case studies are evaluated and compared to each other?
- What data are you working with? Is statistical information collected? Has a questionnaire been prepared? So, I don't think the methodology is sufficiently clear to allow the study to be replicated
- Overall, the Findings part is weak. This section should summarize the manuscript's main finding(s) in the context of the broader scientific literature and address any study limitations or results that conflict with other published work.
- Due to the fact that the methodology and set of indicators have not been defined in this research, this research cannot be repeated.
- it is generally difficult to know what is a new finding. The authors should describe them more rigidly for the reader to be clearly understandable. So, it is better to improve your contributions which are not so clear to show the advantage of your work. So,  
The novelty of this work must be clearly addressed and discussed
- the authors should describe the findings from a deeper and essential point of view based on the results.
- Therefore, it is recommended that the article be rewritten focusing on the research method and its application and efficiency.