

Review of: "Caution Signages and Their Relevance in Commuter Safety in High-Density Construction and Traffic Areas of Two Smart Cities in Odisha: A Cross-Sectional Study to Ensure Eco-Mobility"

Jacek Caban¹

¹ Lublin University of Technology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript concerns the safety of public transport services in selected cities in India. This is valuable material that is worth considering for publication, but requires some explanations and additions before the final decision to publish it.

Comments on the manuscript:

The abstract should be reworded, written in prose, and shortened, limiting itself to the most important issues from the manuscript.

You should adapt the work layout to the template.

In Materials and methods, the authors poorly determined the sample size and how the number 240 was obtained is unclear.

Methodology, there are discrepancies regarding the markings of 26 points and the results obtained at the level of 28. Please explain this.

You consider large and small cities, but they are not described in detail, we can only guess about their size.

I noticed that there are repeated phrases and sentences in the Results section that also appear in the Discussion. These sentences need to be reworded.

I believe that in terms of the amount of literature (only 14 references), it is too poor for a scientific article, especially regarding issues related to public transport, where it is the subject of many studies.

The overall assessment of the work is good, some issues need to be improved and clarified, but the work is worth considering for publication in the journal.

Thank you!