

Review of: "Policy-Based Water Management Challenges at the Local Level Under Non-traditional Security Perspective: The Case of Hanoi City, Vietnam"

Christopher Kanyesigye

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Water management challenges in Hanoi, Vietnam

Section	Comments
General comment	This paper has very well-researched information, but should be formally structured as peer-reviewed papers are usually presented, i.e., Title, abstract, introduction, methods, results, conclusion, and recommendations. My view is that it is publishable material, subject to proper structure improvement.
Specific comments per section	
Abstract	The abstract is quite well written, covering the main points of the paper. It provides information on the objective, methods, results, conclusion, and recommendations. But I do not think it is necessary to put the formulas in the abstract; it's advisable to keep the abstract simple for any reader.
Introduction	The introduction is quite informative (probably more than is usually included in peer-reviewed papers).
Objectives	The objectives are not straightforward, not easy to understand. It is advisable to make clear bullet points that can be followed as one reads ahead in the paper.
Methodology	(Should be created). It's advisable to specifically separate introductory information from the Materials and Methods section and give it a heading (as is usually done in peer-reviewed journal papers). The information provided is very informative, but could be summarized a bit.
Results	The results are well presented and clear.
Discussion	This is done together with the results, which is fine
Conclusion	The conclusion should be before the recommendation section. Some information in the conclusion should be taken to the recommendations section. Let the conclusion be specific and as brief as possible.
Recommendations	The recommendations are quite clear and well written.
References	The references are well listed.