

Review of: "Government interference in election administration and lethal electoral irregularities in Africa: Evidence from Nigeria"

Samuel Idowu¹

¹ Caleb University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article is well written and addresses the problem. However, authors need to correct these notable issues. First, There are subjective assertions and conclusive statements in the literature review section, especially under government intervention in election management first sentence in paragraph three "Since 1999, elections organized by INEC are not free from government interference. The various electoral reforms intended to improve the quality of Nigerian elections have suffered from a similar" Also, in the last paragraph "The interplay of this complexity occasioned by government interference still exacerbates the incidence of electoral irregularities and credible management of elections in Nigeria" This statement is not reconcilable with the preceding statement of the manual, electronic, and manual result management that are statutory duties of INEC. These weaken the findings and conclusion of the study which is the same with the quoted assertions.

On Methodology, the data sources are stated as interview which is a qualitative method or approach but the research design employed under it is not noted making it difficult for analytical tool clarity. As well, the selected population for interview should be justified by eliciting their features and relevance to the study and survey is not applicable because secondary quantitative data were analysed and not primarily generated for the study.

Lastly, the conclusion should be more reflective of the three sections findings and not a fresh narration and should be accompanied with recommendations which is absent in the research.