

# Review of: "Startup Development: SewaDia Rent Application"

Rochmat Aldy Purnomo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universitas Muhammadiyah Ponorogo

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

## General

In general I think we need a clear research question/aims and objectives and a more detailed explanation of the methods. What methods were doing in the research? We don't need to list them necessarily, but the goal of the research needs to be stated I think. Were they exploring the problem or thinking about the solution? So I think the results/discussion section needs to directly link the data collected to the problems identified earlier in the paper - and how the different data collection methods contributed differently. Some rationale (citations) for the research design would also strengthen the paper I think.

## Comment in results and discussion

I think this section needs reorganisation. As it is, it is descriptive and doesn't directly respond to the issues raised in the introduction - there needs to be a link between the problems experienced in Indonesia and how the data collected points to a solution to that problem - so the introduction/lit review might give evidence of the problem and how parking systems work elsewhere - then the discussion would be about how these solutions can address the problems in Indonesia. This section also needs to explain what data was collected and how - for example, what are the results of the data? Is it more evidence of the problem or is it to do with perceptions of the solution? What questions were asked?

## Comment in conclusion

So I think we need a better understanding of what the research did - did the data expose the problem that SewaDia rent can solve, or did the data provide insight into how SewaDeia can work etc etc - I think having a clear research question and aims/objectives stated at the end of the literature review would help making everything make sense