

Review of: "A Study to Assess the Effect of Pelvic Floor Muscle Strengthening Exercises on Urinary Incontinence in Patients with Cervical Cancer Undergoing Radiation Therapy at a Tertiary Cancer Centre"

Gopal Nambi¹

¹ Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract:

1. Mention a brief background of the study.
2. Mention the character of the study participants.
3. Mention the outcome measures used in the study.
4. Present the reports with 95%CI with upper and lower limits for all outcome variables.
5. Did the study measure the quality of life of the participants?
6. The conclusion of the study is not clear; it should be drawn on the basis of study reports.
7. Avoid abbreviations in the conclusion.

Manuscript:

8. Be consistent with the term – cervical carcinoma or cervical cancer.
9. Provide a reference for pelvic floor muscle strengthening exercises for cervical cancer patients.
10. Mention the application procedure, merits, and demerits of pelvic floor muscle strengthening exercises for cervical cancer patients.
11. Mention the gaps monitored by the researcher in the previous studies.
12. The need for the study is not mentioned clearly in recent references.
13. Include the clinical significance of the study for the researchers, clinicians and patients.
14. Mention the character of the study participants.
15. Mention the outcome variables with their reliability and validity.
16. Include the figures for the intervention program, for study replication.
17. Did the authors need copyright permission to use these figures?
18. Mention the intervention parameters of study interventions.
19. Mention the treatment compliance rate, dropout rate and adverse effects.
20. Present the reports with 95%CI with upper and lower limits for all outcome variables.
21. Include the MCID score and effect size of all the variables.

22. Include the mechanism behind the changes in the outcome variables with these intervention modalities in these patients.
23. The conclusion of the study is not clear; it should be drawn on the basis of study reports.
24. Include the real-time limitations faced by the researcher.
25. Include the future recommendations of the study.