

Review of: "Investigation of Mechanical Properties of Sisal Fiber and Sugar Palm Fiber Reinforced Hybrid Composites"

Raquel Ortega¹

1 Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- 1. Improve the writing of the abstract because the text does not have a cohesive structure. In addition, specify the importance or novelty of the research.
- 2. The same goes for the introduction. They need to highlight more the importance of the research developed and try to write better.
- 3. Materials and methods. The section on mechanical properties should be in the section on materials and methods, and they have not specified the resin used.
- 4. Mechanical properties. They have not indicated the number of specimens tested. The water absorption test should not be included as a mechanical property.
- 5. Results and discussion. The presentation of the results should be improved. Firstly, in the tensile test, the results of three specimens are given, but it is not clear whether this is the average value of the specimens tested or the result of only one specimen. It would be more useful to add a table with the results and put the standard deviation. Determine also the elastic modulus. Secondly, in the case of the bending test, do the same, add the flexural modulus and the results with standard deviation. Finally, the same for the impact and water absorption tests, improve the presentation of results. Also, there is not much discussion of the results except for the moisture absorption test; it looks more like a report of results than a scientific paper.

Qeios ID: 0STMI1 · https://doi.org/10.32388/0STMI1