

# Review of: "Jan Smuts' Theory of Holism as an Uplifting Philosophy for Philosophical Counseling"

ira katsur

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

The article Jan Smuts' Theory of Holism as an Uplifting Philosophy for Philosophical Counseling is good written and provides interesting information about the holistic theory of Jan Smuts. Yet this kind of article suits more for the introduction style of writing or Wikipedia article. I didn't find any strong and original argument about Smuts in the article, just exposition of his teaching. It's not enough for academic article. It should provide a new and original interpretation. There were many holistic kinds of ideas in the first decades of last century. Many thinkers supported these kinds of ideas. The research about Smuts should show what is the difference between Smuts and other "holistic" philosophers. If the author claims that Smuts had influenced 20<sup>th</sup> century philosophical or scientific ideas, he should demonstrate it more vividly. What is so special in Smuts theory and how it influenced special branch of knowledge?