

Review of: "Rates and Reasons for Relapse of Pulmonary Tuberculosis in Adults — “Case of the MU YA Urban Health Zone”"

Siti Romaino Mohd Nor

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Thank you for allowing me to review this manuscript. Some of my comments to increase the quality of the paper.

- Abstract: The study aim is not an introduction; it should be stated after the intro about your study. The methodology and results should be revised. Abbreviations like CSDT, BBT, and BCT may be avoided in the abstract.
- Introduction: Needs to explain rates, reasons for relapse, and related factors found to be significant in your study.
- Methodology: Should be written in detail; need to mention the questionnaire taken from where, the title of the questionnaire, whether validated or not, the number of sections and items, the scaling scale, etc., and include a statement about ethical approval.
- Results: Figure 1; Please use an appropriate Chart Title, and please make it proper and presentable.
 - Where is Table 1?
 - Table 2 & 6; if 30 years, it belongs in which group? If a household size is 6, it belongs in which group?
 - Table 4; The result percentages should be written in the percentage column.
 - Table 6 - 9; suggested putting symbol (*) in the footnote rather than interpretation as S and NS.
- Discussion: Needs to discuss in detail rather than only compare; it was low, similar, and higher from the others.
- Conclusion: Not written in the manuscript except in the abstract.

This research makes a good contribution. However, the general write-up is too poor and needs extensive revision if targeted for publication.