

Review of: "Reforesting_now"

Maider Perez de Villarreal¹

1 Universidad Pública de Navarra

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Introduction:

1st paragraph, 3rd line: It is better not to use the same adjective in a sentence. I would rather use a synonim for "common".

4th paragraph, 1st line: Use the acronym of AR at the beginning of the text "Augmented Reality (AR)...."

The matter of reforestation and its urgency

2nd paragraph, 3-4 lines: Use "square kilometers".

3rd paragraph, 2nd line: I would suggest add the time or decades "the lost tree cover area throughout the last fifty years...". It is needed more precision to the text, at least, in how much time this change has been taking place.

4th paragraph, 4th line: It is needed to close the brackets.

The Artwork and the Aesthetical Research

2nd paragraph, 1st line: I would suggest add some information regarding the time needed to bring vegetation to its normal state. For that other previous works could be mentioned.

There is a lack of references supporting the work.

Historical and environmental research

1st paragraph, 1st line: instead of "an" it should be used "a".

Perhaps it should be needed to add more information about "castanheiras" and how this type of tree can engage people to be aware fo the deforestation and function as a trigger.

In general, I consider this article should have a more consistent scientific structure, use more literature on the topic and add more references, as for example, provide citations about the effect of using Augmented Reality Technology for conservation purposes. The idea is very nice and worth working on, but there is not much information about the methodology, the programmes used for the design, wether this work made people more aware about the deforestation, if any interviews were made to get to know the impact of the 3D models... My final advice would be to add the steps of a scientific article, emphasizing discussion and conclusions.

