

# Review of: "Knowledge, Practices and Attitude of Residents towards Buruli Ulcer in Jasikan Municipality: An Ethnographic Study"

Sitraka Rakotosamimanana

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

This is a study about knowledge, attitudes and practices of residents of the municipality of Jasikan on Buruli ulcer, a neglected tropical disease, through a qualitative ethnographic study. The results of the study are rich in informations regarding the perceptions of the populations of Janikan on Buruli ulcer. This study could constitute a basis for quantitative studies in this region on the same theme.

## **General comments:**

My comments mainly concern the introduction as well as the methods which should be clearer than those currently presented. Where is Jasikan located? This is not reflected in the title. Why did you include ethical authorization in your abstract?

More references should be included in the study, overall there are only 9 references.

## **Introduction**

The introduction is short and should contain context on Buruli Ulcer in Africa, Ghana and the region covered by the study.

## **Methods:**

In my opinion, the study setting in the method section is more a context and justification for the study than a method. It seems to me that this should be in the introduction. Or appear in the method as a study site.

Could you include a reference to the sampling to justify that 20 participants is sufficient to reflect the points of view circulating on Buruli ulcer?

In terms of purely qualitative ethnographic studies, can we use the term "sample"?

In terms of participant inclusion, was there an age limit? Have you done individual interviews with young people under the age of 18?

During the interviews did you take into account the gender of the participants, knowing that there could be differences in points of view between men and women?

I don't really understand why there is a "Rigor" subsection. In my opinion this is a justification for why a qualitative

approach was adopted in the study. Could you replace this subsection with a subsection on the conduct of interviews on the site with the detailed procedures followed during the interviews?

**Results:**

NA

**Discussion and conclusions:**

There is little discussion of the results in the study. There is a lack of references to discuss the results.