

# Review of: "A Bibliometric Review of CSR in China Based on CNKI Database: 2006-2022"

Susana Diaz-Iglesias<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidad Rey Juan Carlos

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

"A Bibliometric Review of CSR in China Based on CNKI Database: 2006-2022" is an interesting but ambiguous paper.

Some comments and recommendations to the authors:

- The Abstract does not clearly explain the relation between the purpose and the results obtained in the study.
- In the Introduction section:
  - Lack of explanation the relation between the purpose and the results obtained in the study.
  - I miss the explanation of the organization of the article at the end of this section (for example: After this introduction our paper is organized as follows:...)
  - There are whole paragraphs with no references and all texts must be justified with literature references.
  - The following sentence does not add value in the description of the analysed data: "We hope to provide empirical evidence for the subsequent research and to serve as a guide for corporate practice."
- In the Methodology is explained the DB used to extract the info, but there is no explanation about why authors selected this DB instead others. Even in the limitations of the study should be included this limitation for the bibliometric analysis.
- In a bibliometric study, the methodology is key and the explanation of using an specific software and not others is needed to understand the way it was made the data analysis in this paper.
- "The literature with low relevance to CSR was removed" explain and justify how authors did it.
- Conclusions needs to lean on the results data to obtain a clear justification
- Research Outlook, I can not find the connection between the bibliometric analysis and the explanations included in the text.

Comments in general for .all document:

- Check spelling, level of English and grammatical errors.
- Review the references norm.
- Try to describe acronyms the first time they are used to avoid reading confusion.
- The number of references, is short taking into account a publication in a Cientific Journal
- Bibliometric references are needed to justify the methodology and to explain the bases of the study.

The idea of the study is very attractive but need to be more precise about the outputs obtained after the bibliometric analysis and the conclusions.