

Review of: "Supply Chain Fraud Prediction with Machine Learning and Artificial intelligence"

Seethalakshmi V

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

.

Recommendation: Accept (minor edits).

1. This is an interesting study and the authors have collected a unique dataset. The paper is generally well written and structured.
2. More generally, I suggest to focus the manuscript on the scientific results rather than on the innovation in engineering.
3. Abstract should be description of the problem. Seems it is not much clear.
4. Related works is summarized only but the authors did not criticize them. Recommendations to use these methods are not exist. The problems of related work are not clear. In other words, why did the authors propose new method? Or why related works are not used in solving this problem. I think the last paragraph of related word might present related work problems then introduce why they propose new method.
5. It is unclear how the proposed work addressed a research gap in the given domain Strong arguments are required.
6. All the equations need to be described properly.
7. What is the source data details not found anywhere.
8. Results achieved. It describes clearly what has been done before on the problem, and what is new.
9. The main idea that how the authors improved the base-line method is not obvious