

Review of: "Entrepreneurship education in the Tanzanian higher education institutes: The learning theories perspective"

Bình Nghiêm-Phú¹

¹ University of Hyogo

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Author,

Thank you for writing this paper. I want to share some of my observations as a reader about your work.

1. Abstract

The abstract summarizes the content of the paper. In your case, the summary is fulfilled by the last two sentences. The previous ones introduce the context, but the content is incoherent and lacks connection. Language quality is the primary reason behind this incoherence.

2. Introduction

2.1. The author used too many old references, dated ten or 20 years ago. You could refer to newer works to see and show the latest developments.

2.2. The author should have cited your sources in many essential statements, such as the first sentence of the first paragraph, the first and seventh sentences of the second paragraph, and the third sentence of the third paragraph. Some references could have been cited more consistently, such as Imelda (2014, in text) vs. Imenda (2014, in the reference list). Please use a reference management tool, such as the one in Microsoft Word, to help with this task.

2.3. The author should have explained why your study must be done. Please justify the necessity of your research by showing the readers the gaps that have yet to be addressed.

2.4. The author mentioned two purposes: (1) to introduce some approaches to teaching and learning entrepreneurship and (2) to advocate the effectiveness of these approaches in Tanzania. However, the language still is an issue for me to comprehend the author's intention.

2.5. It would be more professional if the author used one of the two pronouns, either I or we, in your communication.

3. Study concepts or Literature review

3.1. Again, the language quality is a prominent issue for me to understand what the author wanted to tell. In addition, the

references are too old for me to see their relevance to the current situation.

3.2. The author mentioned three teaching approaches in subsection 2.1. (i.e., teaching about, for, and through), but you should have included one complementary learning approach in subsection 2.2. (i.e., learning through). Then you explained different learning theories, but I cannot locate or connect this particular subsection in the whole review and with other subsections. A restructure of this section may help smooth the information flow.

3.3. The author should have reviewed the current conditions of entrepreneurship education, in particular, and higher education, in general, in Tanzania. Without this background information, it is impossible to locate the theories and approaches to this context.

4. Method

The author completely forgot to write about the methods you used to develop this work. Without this information, evaluating the validity and reliability of the results is impossible.

5. Findings and discussions, and Conclusion, limitation, and implication

I cannot connect the stories the author told to their sources. From whose perspective did the author narrate these stories: other educators, students, university managers, or policymakers? I wonder about the nature of the author's narratives: observations or proposals. I wonder who would benefit from these observations or recommendations.

6. References

The author used different referencing formats. It would be better to follow one system, such as APA. In addition, the author should re-cite the website sources. APA and other referencing systems have instructions for doing so.

Finally, I wish the author the best with your efforts.

Best regards.