

Review of: "Perception of Biodiversity versus Connection to Nature: Which Can Influence Wildlife Product Consumption in Vietnam?"

Felix H. Arion¹

¹ University of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The topic addressed is relevant for the journal and for the field of study, in general. This study aims to explore the roles of socio-demographic factors, connection to nature and perception of biodiversity in wildlife product consumption.

The subject area is rather interesting, and, possibly, not enough approached by other scholars, so there is potential room for this manuscript to bring new information, once it reaches the expected level of quality.

The Abstract has to be reconsidered, by adding more numerically expressed findings. Also, there are missing the main results and their implications. Please do not use any abbreviations and/or acronyms in the Abstract.

Among the **keywords**, for better visibility on databases, the authors are asked not to repeat among keywords the words/concepts included in the title of the article. Entering different words in the title and in the keywords can improve the search for the paper in metasearch engines and internet databases.

At the end of **the introduction**, please present the structure of the remaining manuscript.

The part of the **Literature Review** is based on a limited number of studies, not enough to construct the literature gap by presenting the focus of the current study. It is advisable to develop it and to make it clearer and easier to follow.

The methodology part is not well conceptualized. For instance, there is need to present how the sample was constructed and why it is representative for the entire studied population (presenting similar studies would help validate the method).

- please do not use any abbreviations and/or acronyms in the titles of sub-chapters

The results.

- Table 1 is too long and difficult to follow, I suggest to try to make it shorter (and, additionally, to move the entire table as Annex at the end of the article).
- figures 3-8 are presented on a line, with no minimal discussion of the results, so they are not easy to follow

The discussion part is consistent and brings important information for scholars.

The presentation of the **Conclusions** is well conceptualised.