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P. 1 on the pdf.

First clear from its Abstract,

What is the main goal of this paper?

-> the main aim of this paper is to highlight some problems in the following aspects: of this online learning in light of the health crisis, social distancing norm, the financial crisis which likely to emerge, and influence the satisfaction and learning outcomes during this whole transition. Or, just to highlight problems students may face during this transition including the financial implications that educational institutes and parents may have to face. As a reviewer, I am not clear about this main objective of the present paper.

It comes to me as the reviewer not evident here from this present abstract: what is it as the main objective to be clearly delineated here? The author(s) should rewrite it for this aspect. Since at the bottom of the introduction, the author stated something about its direction: ie., planned online to forced-shifted online to e-learning. I am confused here.

In Abstract, the following sentence: “The practices to make online learning equally fruitful as traditional classrooms which will lead to student satisfaction are proposed.” -> as equally fruitful as traditional...

Throughout the introduction, the author has interchangeably used the terms between online learning and teaching: they are largely and precisely different entities. The author should correct the word usage.

Online learning is different from online teaching: here the author(s) are discussing about online teaching not learning.: forced/emergency remote learning ?? -> forced/emergency teaching (education)

P. 3.

Before filling the gap, this needs to be revised : “The current study is aimed to -> The present study aims to,..”

P. 5

,.internet -> the Internet

All the internet word are revised into “the Internet.”
The table 1 should be elaborated more with their own references. For example, the zone of proximal development (Lev Vigotskian assumption). This table is all of a sudden.

In conclusion, the author clearly stresses about the purpose of the online education and role of it and tries to make sure of its quality in contents and way of delivering the contents from educators.

I am still not clear what is being targeted as a main goal of this paper. From your conclusion, the quality of online teaching in terms of its contents quality and the ways of delivering seem the main objective of this paper, but the body of this paper has spent much time on other topics (financial problems, who pays the price, etc.)

I think this paper might need to be revised in a substantive range prior to its publication.

Thanks,