

Review of: "[Review] Exploring an integrated decision-making model to enhance the employee-oriented built environment in urban green buildings: A Review"

Sun Yongkai

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript tried to explore an integrated decision-making model to enhance the employee-oriented built environment in urban green buildings. Unfortunately, the manuscript has a major problem that should be developed before the publication.

1. The abstract should be about 250 words with concise text in a single paragraph. The abstract usually includes the research purpose, method, conclusion, and research significance. The abstract of this study needs to be rewritten according to the above criteria.
2. In the keywords section, MCDA and SEM should not be abbreviated.
3. Improve the quality of the figures in the paper, all of them are quite out of focus.
4. The meaning of nodes and lines in Figure 8 and Figure 9 should be further explained.
5. A concise conclusion is important. The conclusions of this study need to be simplified to highlight the important findings of this study.
6. What are the existing research gaps? What are the future research directions? These contents need to be added.