

# Review of: "Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practice (KAP) Study for Reducing Invalid Vaccine Doses in Routine Immunization: A Cross-Sectional Study in Urban Slums of Bangladesh"

Imalke Kankananarachchi

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. suggest to write the exact question used in the questionnaire in the table 2 . Its not clear what is meant by “proper knowledge” about Government Immunization Program. It sounds that they just knew about the EPI program me, if so better to write as precisely
2. How did author's assess “proper knowledge” about the number of vaccinations in Government Immunization. How did they come to conclusion about proper knowledge? Did they use an scoring system and beyond certain level of score, considered as having proper knowledge?
3. In the table 1, one question says Card was given and still available 368 (81.24) but in the next question, vaccination card is available only among 71.74%. These two data are contradictory
4. In table 4, “Perception of Government Routine Immunization” Program has only 2 responses (good and very good) even if its 0%, suggest to present the scale of the question
5. In table 4, “Child received vaccine under the Routine Immunization”, did authors considered only one child of the family? better to specify this
6. In table 5, did authors consider only one child of the family?