

Review of: "Measuring researchers' success more fairly: going beyond the H-index"

Richard Tol¹

¹ University of Sussex

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Many alternatives to the H-index have been suggested. The current paper does not review these and its claim to novelty thus falls flat.

There is no worked example. One feature of the many alternative indicators is that they rank researchers in much the same way. How do we know that the proposed alternative adds information? For all we know it may just add computational complexity.

The proposed alternative is ad hoc. In recent years, we have seen a move away from ad hoc indicators to axiomatic ones and empirical ones. The current paper is a step back.