

Review of: "Cultural and Regional Influences on Global Al Apprehension"

Arthur Ernest Gwagwa¹

1 Ethics Institute, Utrecht University, Netherlands

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This excellent article, authored by a leading expert in the field, underscores the pressing need to expand the worldwide conversation on artificial intelligence (AI) by integrating diverse cultural, regional, and socio-political viewpoints. The author's considerable strides in discussing regional variations in apprehension towards AI are a testament to their expertise. However, the geographical scale of the article sometimes sacrifices depth; for example, the discussions on page 4 could benefit from further references, especially a discussion of the Middle East. The writer could also clearly state the relationships between confusing terms from the onset, for example, "The discussion on artificial intelligence (AI)" and "AI Research and Governance" in the abstract and introduction. He could bridge these two terms in the introduction using an all-encompassing term unless context dictates otherwise. I struggled to connect AI discussion, governance, and apprehension at some point. For example, page 2 says, "considering AI from a cultural and regional perspective demonstrates." However, one might ask: what is AI? A critic might say AI is a field of study - e.g., law, medicine - but what is governed or regulated is "AI systems." On page 3, the writer is on point when he says, "This methodology comprehensively explains the regional nuances in AI apprehension and governance, emphasizing the need for more culturally sensitive AI policies."

Qeios ID: 1KP1VI · https://doi.org/10.32388/1KP1VI