

Review of: "Application of Business Model at PT. ABC – A Learning Case from a Construction Service Company"

Mehdi Mazloumi 1

1 University of Antwerp

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- 1. Comments on the first section:
- 2. what has been done to address the problem (a broad perspective, i.e. multidisciplinary).
- 3. (b) a critical review of the most relevant literature. (c)
- 4. research gap in the literature and a clear statement of your contributions.
- 5. At the end of section 1, the authors need to close the section by providing the outline, i.e. the rest of the manuscript.
- 6. Comments on the second section:
- 7. This section should be improved by applying a story-telling manner in your review of the literature. Following a broad-to-narrow flow of information, there must be a logical connection between the paragraphs. I would like to emphasize that the literature review section should be deeper compared to the paragraph presented in the literature review. The objective is to exhaust ALL the relevant articles, and not only the most relevant onces. Overall, it should be written in a way that the identified gap in the introduction section is well supported.
- 8. Comments on the third section:
- 9. Section 3, the research approach, should be included as an introductory sub-section to the methodology section
- 10. This section is not what it should be, meaning that, it not present a good structure of a scientific study and should be improved.
- 11. There is no section where the authors presented their findings.
- 12. Section conclusion: This section should be restructured to include the following information. Paragraph (1): background, your contributions. Paragraph (2): major findings and implications. Paragraph (3): limitations of this study and directions for future research works.

Qeios ID: 1L2P7P · https://doi.org/10.32388/1L2P7P