

Review of: "Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) Interventions for People with Disabilities"

Lisa Bowers¹

¹ Open University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This paper holds a good intention/motivation and context however, the reasoning, research questions were not formed with any depth, or systematic construct. I found the number of papers (834 papers) to be quite a large weight to be fully reviewed to any valued review.

The abstract of this paper needs to be reconsidered, the syntax was unclear, thereby making the initial part of the paper where a reader would gain the synopsis of information to be difficult to follow.

The main point from the body of the paper initially appeared to make it difficult for an expert reader to determine the parameters of the study. I also found it difficult to determine who the audience of the paper was likely to be. By this I mean that I found the paper to be quite simple in its language and focus, and therefore, I wondered if this was due to the paper's considered audience to be people outside the area of HCI. Perhaps the authors were opening the access to a wider gamut of reader? I also found some phrases in the paper to not follow a fully accessible language, e.g. for the deaf, the hard of hearing, the autistic. The use of the pronoun before *the person* to describe a community of people is not best practice, nor is this considerate of 'person first' approach. I also found terms such as 'old people' to be again not best practice terms, and not inclusive or formal/professional terminology. The paper authors also used informal, sweeping phrases e.g., Since the dawn of time, these phrases are not formal phrases, and more colloquial and shouldn't be used in a professional article.

Overall, I feel the authors of this paper should review the paper again before submitting for publication.