

Review of: "Materialization Mechanics: Bridging Physics to The Biblical Gospel of Prosperity"

Alexander A. Pechenkin¹

¹ Lomonosov Moscow State University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

[http://Review of "Materialization of mechanics: bringing physics to the Biblical Gospel of Prosperity"](#) I don't think that the paper "Materialization of Mechanics: Bridging Physics to the Biblical Gospel of Prosperity" is worth publishing. The author places the interpretation of quantum mechanics in the context of theological and religious literature. However, he/she does not take into consideration the fact that there are many interpretations of quantum mechanics and therefore there are many conceptual frameworks of quantum mechanics (standard (Copenhagen), ensemble, Bayesianist, stochastic, etc.). Moreover, there are different formulations of quantum mechanics. The author takes quantum mechanics as an unproblematic text which is able to be treated from the point of view of the other unproblematic text. The concept of entanglement is an interpretative concept which can be traced back to Schrodinger's interpretation of the EPR discussion. In the "Materialization of Mechanics..." it is taken as a fundamental concept of quantum mechanics. There are good attempts to look at the conceptual structure of quantum mechanics from the philological point of view. A year ago, I wrote a review of the paper which traced the spots of the vocabulary of modernist literature in the conceptual scheme of quantum mechanics. However, in the paper under review, quantum mechanics is placed in an ideological non-problematic context.