

Review of: "Assessment of Children's Toys Suitability Index Instrument (Toy Index)"

Mohd Nazri Abdul Rahman¹

¹ Universiti Malaya

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. Please consider more references to the literature of the last five years that may be more helpful for toy suitability
2. The authors may need more content in the introduction section to present the suitability of toys in local context, as well as the research gap of toy suitability evaluation instruments focusing on the Malaysian context.
3. It is advised that the author add more thought to the concluding section because it is overly simplistic. The authors seem to have neglected the discussion and interpretation of the findings.
4. In this study, the FDM and AHP are both used. The choice of these two methods should have been further justified, and the author should address whether these methods are suitable. At the same time, the methodology section is too simple and does not state the method and analysis process in detail, which needs to be combined with past empirical evidence and research theoretical framework.
5. Limitations of the study and theoretical, practical implications are suggested to be added after the discussion section of the manuscript.