

# Review of: "Rush Farming of Konjac (*Amorphophallus Muelleri*) Among Novice Farmers in Ciamis Regency, West Java Province, Indonesia: a Descriptive Study"

Bonoua Faye<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Northeast Agricultural University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Thank you for considering me to review this manuscript. This paper's analysis of the characteristics of rush Farming of Konjac helps formulate national food security policies tailored to local conditions. However, the article still has the following problems.

1. First line: "...because of incomplete information." Which information do you refer to?
2. The abstract doesn't support the most essential method for the survey (Method) and should be rewritten concisely.
3. The objectives of this study in the abstract and introduction section are not the same; they must be described in detail and uniformly.
4. In the abstract section, kindly consider the study's main implications, policy, and limits.
5. The introduction part should include why this study is essential. What has been done before? What is missing? What is needed?
6. We have not found a close link between the text and your topic in the theoretical Framework section.
7. The study study area has not presented well. For example, how about the yearly production of Konjac, land area, sown land area, etc..
8. Figure 1: Please describe it by adding the name of each map.
9. In the collection data section, "In addition to our understanding, secondary government data were collected online." Please describe which data and how you used it in this study.
10. What is the meaning of IDR?
11. The presentation of Table 1 is not suitable. What is the meaning of education level 8?
12. In point 2, the Process for Domesticating Konjac does not correspond to the study's objective.
13. The results and analysis part needs to be further condensed
14. Figure 2: What is the significance of the A, B, etc?
15. Improve and make clear the innovation of the study.
16. English is not very smooth, and please improve it.
17. 14. The conclusion section is very long. It must be summarized. Also, it's critical to highlight the limits of the study.
18. What is the theoretical contribution and significance of this paper?
19. What are the policy implications of the study?

20. What is the innovation of the study?

21. Globally, this paper is straightforward and lacks logic.

I hope these ideas and comments help.