Review of: "Creating ontological definitions for use in science"

Robert Boroch

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

The authors have set themselves a difficult task. 'Ontological definitions' must satisfy the principles of logical correctness. In the first point, a linguistic stylisation is proposed, corresponding to the real definition structure. Then a definition by postulates is demonstrated, which seems a bit inconsistent. Perhaps it is worth proposing a different approach that cuts away from formal logic. What is the purpose of 'ontological definition'? The objective is, therefore, explanatory. So here we have the structure of a real explanatory and informational definition. An important question remains whether an ontological definition can be universal? The idea presented is exciting, and it is worth considering. Still, it is necessary to recognise the problem situation in more detail, especially in the context of the theory of definitions, which is lacking here. In doing so, we fall into calling named things new terms. I encourage you to read Kotarbinski's work - Treatise on Good Work.