

Review of: "A Cross-sectional Survey of Public and Private Cancer Care in Nigeria and Romania"

Nixon Niyonzima¹

¹ Uganda Cancer Institute

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript title proposes a cross sectional survey of private and public cancer care in Nigeria and Romania. The study largely compares care provided at Medsiprof Hospital in Romania to that provided in at UCTH in Nigeria. The authors should consider the followign comments to their manuscript.

1. What was the hypothesis of the authors for this study? In this manuscript it is not clear what questions the authors were trying to answer.
2. The article title proposes to undertake a cross-sectional survey of private and public cancer care in Nigeria and Romania, did the authors instead intend to look at patient satisfaction with cancer care in either country? The sample size for evaluation of these services was too small. It may have required assessment of more centres in either country.
3. The comparison of private care in Romania to public care in Nigeria is not a fair comparison. A better comparison would have been comparing centres in Nigeria and then centres in Romania separately and then the results discussed. The curent comparion is not a like for like comparison. It would be better to compare similar contexts.
4. The authors may have to review the article title in light of the reported findings. The body of the manuscript as well as conclusions talk about patient satisfaction. Even with patient satisfaction, the authors could have compared private vs Public in Nigeria and then similary in Romania.
- 5.