

Review of: "The "Africa Rising": An Exploratory Analysis with the Gross Domestic Product Data"

Olalekan Akintande

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. I do not think the literature review should be in Table. The review can be discussed headings by headings as highlighted in the Table. The authors should follow a standard literature review process. Tables are typically meant for a summary of information that exists within a discussion, not as a review highlight.
2. "As a result, the reliability of our panel dataset is high at 98%." **What statistics confirm this claim?**
3. "We have used the two-step GMM dynamic system estimator (Arellano and Bover, 1995; Blundell and Bond, 1998; Bond et al., 2001). This procedure is more efficient than the differencing, especially for panel data with a large N (cross-country dimension: 54) and a small T (time dimension: 10) like ours." → **Does any literature assert this?**
4. I think the work is really nice but I am unsure if this work has not been done before. Hence, my major concern!