

## Review of: "Theory of Infrastructural Capability in Psychotherapy: Impact of Therapists' Egoism Manifestation Toward Patients"

## Florence Pearce<sup>1</sup>

1 Newman University - Birmingham

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is more of a technical review, as i think the basics of this article, are missing.

The two situations mentioned at the start of the introduction, are not the only situations. So it might be worth focusing in on saying these are examples. And why these examples? Why the time variation, a patients bad day, not hard life, and a therapists harsh experiences, not a bad day? Also, what constitutes "too many" harsh experiences?

I feel that an introduction to the concept of egoism satisfaction infrastructure is needed.

There are quite a few points in which wording could be more concise. Reducing the length of sentences is a good way of making points clearer and easier to read.

I am not sure the equation and illustrative maths, are adding anything to the content.

The actual "method" is unclear. This continues to be a continuation of the introductions account. In general, there doesn't appear to be any progression of the account. Further structure to the content is needed. There appears to be the use of a report structure i.e introduction, method, results, but these do not appear to reflect any qualitatively different content. I think this would need to be addressed, before the content could be properly reviewed.

Qeios ID: 1P8L1O · https://doi.org/10.32388/1P8L1O