

Review of: "The Perception of Nurse Case Care Managers About the Needs of Cancer Patients"

Cláudia Mendes¹

¹ Universidade Lusófona de Humanidades e Tecnologias

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear authors,

Thank you for this opportunity to review your article.

Some points still deserve attention:

- In the text, the author mentions "CCM," but it is not described in the first use; ICCM is not described either.
- If in the sample section criteria they already mention that they recruited case manager nurses, they do not need to present in the results table that there are 20 nurses and case managers.
- Key questions:
 1. The concept of NCCM - "nurse care case manager" is very recent, and the evidence is still scarce, so there is a need for this type of study to validate it. On the other hand, the nurse case manager figure is very well founded, so I would strongly suggest you consult the Case Management Society of America, one of the oldest.
 2. In nursing, we have important concepts: An essential professional to consider is the Advanced Practice Nurse (APN), defined by the International Council of Nursing (ICN) as "a specialist nurse who has acquired the expert knowledge base, complex decision-making skills, and clinical competencies necessary for extended professional practice whose characteristics are given by the context or country in which the nurse is accredited to practice."
 3. A nurse case manager is a central point of contact and coordination for patients, healthcare providers, and insurers. With a background in nursing, they possess both clinical expertise and a deep understanding of the complexities of the healthcare system. Their primary role is to advocate for patients, ensuring that their needs are met and that they receive comprehensive, high-quality care.
 4. I'm not sure of the purpose of this study. The title talks about the perception that NCCMs have, but the discussion and conclusion emphasize the importance of NCCMs. They need to define what the objective of the study is; it's confusing. All scientific methodology must be aligned:
 1. Theoretical foundation
 2. Methods: participants, instruments, data collection procedures, data analysis procedures
 3. Results: the results presented are the same as those described in the data analysis procedures; not duplicated the presentation of the statistical results in text and table; not discussed the results in the description, only presented them.
 4. Discussion of the results: is not a mere repetition of the results.The discussion is in accordance with the order in which the results were presented.

Results; discussed all the results, including those that were not significant or did not support my hypotheses.

5. Final considerations: presented an overview of everything that was covered, highlighting the main findings; presented the limitations of the study; presented future perspectives, the questions that my research has raised, and suggestions for future studies.