

## Review of: "Why Corruption in Nigeria? Experts' Accounts on the Occurrences and Persistence"

Henry Ani Kifordu

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

No matter the amount of insistence, articles about endemic corruption practices, especially in political activities or within public officials that are supposed to serve public instead of private interests, attract the readers' attention towards expected distinct insights. By shifting methodology to the motivational factors of corrupt public agents based on the perceptions and experiences of anti-corruption government agents, the author generated expectations towards knowledge enrichment and higher public policy inputs into the ever ending fight against corruption in Nigeria. It is a good article that deserves publishing after due corrections for improving its quality are made.

The need to systematically elaborate the abstract and structure the article has been mentioned by a particular reviewer. Moreover, despite that Nigeria ranks among the most corrupt countries in the world, knowledge about this social anomaly is not limited to the country's experience alone. In short, it assumes a larger global phenomenon with very rich literature worth looking into for deeper insights and for striking a necessary knowledge balance between the Nigerian and global literature outputs.

Commendably, the author made good efforts in the empirical analysis by delineating and articulating reasonably well the motivating factors of corruption through the information collated from the working experiences of anti-corruption agents in Nigeria. However, the conclusion does not contain the necessary elements for its intelligible recognition. The author failed to consistently articulate the final considerations after briefly and creatively showing the observations from the empirical analysis. In other words, the results or findings of the research need to be clearly though succinctly evidenced in the conclusion and if deemed necessary with policy recommendations.

Qeios ID: 1RFO0T · https://doi.org/10.32388/1RFO0T