

# Review of: "Improving the Integration of Epidemiological Data Into Human Health Risk Assessment: What Risk Assessors Told Us They Want"

Nicola Nante<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Siena

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper is not well written or methodologically sound. It is easy to read but not appropriate for being a scientific manuscript (there is a Spice Girls quote). The topic, regarding improving the integration of epidemiological data in human health risk assessment, could also be interesting, but the survey has a very small sample size. The purpose of the study could be more clearly articulated to increase its transparency and facilitate a better understanding of its purpose. In addition, providing a detailed inventory of the questionnaires used would strengthen the completeness of the methodological section. The bibliography is very sparse despite the fact that the manuscript is very long.

The abstract lacks numeric results. With a description of the research question, the survey methods, and some numeric results in the abstract, this article would have a greater impact.

A comprehensive list of the questionnaires used could have been added. The sample size calculation is ambiguous. The external validity of this study is very low.

Kindly try to remake the study with a larger sample size based on the results of the current study, and kindly rewrite it in a form more suitable for a scientific manuscript.