

Review of: "Analysing the conglomeration of various urban pockets through the lens of environmental design for crime prevention: A case of Kolkata"

Meng Qingxiang¹

¹ Northeast Forest University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The topic of this article is very interesting, using the method of multi community comparison to measure the relationship between spatial syntax and EB. It has the potential to contribute to this. However, the current version has many problems and may benefit from major revisions. The review opinions are as follows:

1. In terms of methodology, there are many analysis methods that combine spatial syntax with questionnaires in the field of urban research, and there are also many achievements that focus on the urban living environment and psychology. The literature cited in this article is too old, and it is necessary to pay attention to the latest research frontiers and increase the literature review of related research results.
2. In terms of questionnaire research, it should be explained clearly why these questionnaire indicators and dimensions were selected, why 300 residents were surveyed, and the proportion of the total number of people in the survey scope should be explained clearly. Is the sample representative?
3. In terms of calculation methods, it is recommended to list specific analysis methods.
4. The description of the analyzed drawings and calculation results in the figure is too few, and more is focused on the discussion section, while the introduction in the analysis is too few. It is recommended to supplement the relevant descriptions.
5. The structure of the article still needs to be adjusted, and the analysis charts of methodology, discussion, results, and conclusions feel that the hierarchy of the article is not clear.

In summary, this article suggests resubmitting for review after major revisions are taken, and more experts can be sought for review in the relevant calculation section.