

Review of: "How to burp an infant – a prospective comparative pilot study on four different methods"

Melinda Harini¹

1 Rumah Sakit Umum Pusat Nasional Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Future studies on this subject may find this study to be extremely intriguing.

I provide the author the following feedback as suggestions that could make the text being described better:

- 1. The rationale behind using twins as a sample was left unstated by the author. Why is using twins as a sample in this study relevant? To ensure that the sample is applicable in next studies, it must be explained why it was chosen.
- 2. Need a more detailed explanation of why the methods used to produce burps are divided into these 4 groups? And where does it say there are four groups in the literature?
- 3. The abstract does not explain the urgency and relevance of the importance of conducting this research. It would be better if the abstract could explain the author's reasons for conducting this research, and why this research was needed.
- 4. It would be preferable to add illustrations or pictures of the techniques employed so that readers may comprehend them better.

Qeios ID: 1Z6OH7 · https://doi.org/10.32388/1Z6OH7