

Review of: "[Review Article] Excessive Aluminum in Soil"

Renata Matraszek-Gawron¹

1 University of Life Sciences in Lublin

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Editor,

Thank you so much for choosing me as a reviewer of the article: Excessive Aluminum in Soil: Review Paper."

- 1. The article lacks clear organization. The structure of the manuscript should follow a general role, title, abstract, keywords, introduction, methodology, results and discussion, conclusion, references.
- 2. The abstract is too general and does not contain a clear objective of the work. The key findings and contributions of the review should be stated.
- 3. The methodology section is missed. The searched databases and keywords should be, and the number of books, articles, and other information should be included.
- 4. Key words It is not recommended to use as keywords the words and phrases used in the title of the manuscript.
- 5. This manuscript is too general, and much information is repeated. The latest articles and information should be included.
- 6. All figures should be cited and described/discussed in the manuscript. However, all figures should be clear for the reader without referring to the text of the manuscript. The source of the figures should be given.
- 7. Clear, constructive conclusions should be given.
- 8. The references should be prepared according to one scheme. The full data for each reference should be given. Some of the citations are missed. More recent papers should be included.

I recommend major revision.