

Review of: "Investigation of Mechanical Properties of Sisal Fiber and Sugar Palm Fiber Reinforced Hybrid Composites"

Cristel Onésippe-Potiron¹

¹ Université des Antilles et de la Guyane

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. Introduction.

From the beginning of the paper, there is a huge lack of bibliographic references. All of the affirmations have to be correctly supported by references.

Moreover, the authors must summarize the main arguments from the referenced studies in order to avoid the catalog effect.

Authors have to justify the relevance of their study.

2. Material and methods

Materials are not correctly described nor characterized. Authors must prove the mechanical, absorption, ... performances of fibers. Which length? Which diameter? Which density? ...

What is the composition of the resin used?

How many times have all the tests been repeated? Is there a mean? Is there a standard deviation?

What is the elaboration method of composites?

3. Mechanical properties

This part should be in Materials and methods as it describes methods.

4. Results and discussions

Are all of the data reproducible?

Where are the means and standard deviations? There is no scientific explanation/discussion of the results...

5. Conclusions

This is not a conclusion but a summary of what has been done.

What are the eventual applications of these composites?

What are the perspectives of the work?

My opinion: this paper is rejected for publication.

Authors have to formulate major revisions prior to considering publication